State Advisory Group on Youth Justice Meeting Minutes
Wednesday, March 18
11:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.

Call to Order: Welcome; Roll Call
Chair Mendoza called the meeting to order and welcomed participants. He noted a new optional process for public participants to sign in virtually so they could receive information about future meetings and follow-up information from the committee. OYCR staff provided instructions for participation by committee members and members of the public. Vice Chair Franco took roll.

The following members attended in person: Chair Kent Mendoza, Vice Chair Franco, Tyrique Shipp, Carly Dierkhising, Michael Duncan.

The following members attended virtually: Supervisor Joe Baca Jr, Carol Biondi, Dr. BJ Davis, Michelle Guymon, Montay Magic McKay

The following members were not present: Dante Williams, Dr Mimi Silbert, Susan Sands, Isaiah Barber, Hon. Brian Back

Action Item: Approval of December 2025 Minutes

Chair Mendoza called for the action item of approving the Minutes from the December 2025 meeting. Supervisor Joe Baca moved to approve the minutes. Carol Biondi noted her name was misspelled on page 1 of the minutes but noted no other corrections and seconded the motion. There were no other comments or questions, and the minutes were approved.

Chair Updates
Chair Mendoza thanked members who were able to attend in person as it provides an important way for the group to engage and move the work forward. He strongly encouraged all members to join the next quarterly meeting in person, which will be held in Los Angeles on June 17. Vice Chair franco echoed the importance of attending in person and also shared the importance of grounding the work in communities, noting the value of being in Oakland for today's meeting and being able to hear from a group working in this community.

OYCR Grants Title II Manager Krystal Lewis-Britton shared several updates from OYCR, starting with several audits of the compliance monitoring work by OJJDP. The first audit was virtual and a second in-person audit is scheduled to take place in the LA area, which will include review of all compliance monitoring related documents, meetings with staff, etc. OYCR Chief Counsel Protima Pandey added that these audits are part of the regular auditing cycle and would have taken place in 2024 but were delayed. The in-person audit will focus on Los Padrinos, as well as several other locations in Southern California and OYCR is preparing

necessary documents and gathering data in preparation for that visit. A member asked if they were requesting attendance by and of the SAG YJ members and Chief Counsel Pandey noted that they did not request that specifically but they had the committee roster and would be aware of the presence of a number of members in the LA area. Other members expressed support for the visit to the locations mentioned as part of the process.

Krystal Lewis-Britton shared an update on the CJJ conference in Washington DC May 27-30 and reminded members that there was support available to fund their travel if they were interested and able to attend. Finally she noted that after a pause from OJJDP on approving invoices, as of March 11, OYCR was able to approve costs related to the Title II grants.

Discussion and Action Item: Committee Name Change

Chair Mendoza raised the topic of changing the committee's name. He reminded members that the suggestion originated during discussion in the December meeting. He provided examples from several other state advisory groups that demonstrated a range of naming conventions and offered the suggestion of State Advisory Group on Youth Justice.

Supervisor Joe Baca Jr. expressed support for the suggestion and moved to change the name from State Advisory Group on Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, to the State Advisory Group on Youth Justice. Carol Biondi seconded the motion.

During member discussion, Dr. Dierkhising noted that the name is somewhat similar to the Youth Justice Committee and asked if adding California to the name might help distinguish it from that committee. Chair Mendoza also noted that Youth Justice State Advisory Group could be an alternate name and asked if other members had suggestions or concerns. Carol Biondi noted that as long as the term 'group' was in the name, she felt it had appropriate connection to the connection with the JJDCP/federal creation of the committee. Michelle Guymon agreed and Supervisor Baca noted that he still felt comfortable with the name suggestion he moved to change it to (State Advisory Group on Youth Justice).

The following members voted in favor of the motion: Tyrique Shipp, Carly Dierkhising, Michelle Guymon, Supervisor Joe Baca Jr, Carol Biondi, Dr. BJ Davis, Michael Duncan, Dr. Tecoy Porter Sr.

The following members did not vote: Dante Williams, Dr Mimi Silbert, Susan Sands, Hon. Brian Back, Isaiah Barber, Montay Magic McKay

The motion passed and Chair Mendoza made the name change of State Advisory Group on Youth Justice Official.

Community Presentation: Community Presentation: Communities United for Restorative Youth Justice (CURYJ)

Chair Mendoza introduced the following speakers from Communities United for Restorative Youth Justice (CURYJ): George Galvis Executive Director; Dr. Frankie Ramos, Director of Campaigns and Organizing; and Jay Vasquez, Director of Policy. George Galvis gave an introduction, welcoming committee members and members of the public to the CURYJ space and highlighting that the organization is celebrating 15 years in operation, borne out of gang injunction organizing in the Fruitvale community. CURYJ Director of Policy Jay Vasquez

provided an overview of CURYJ's work to empower young people and provide resources to allow them to thrive. He shared data on over-policing and overrepresentation of youth of color in the local youth justice system, as well as the importance of recognizing the prevalence of youth who have experienced trauma and mental health issues who come into contact with the system. CURYJ Director of Campaigns and Organizing Dr. Frankie Ramos shared the values that guide the organization's work and the belief that it should be led by those who are directly impacted. The majority of the CURYJ staff are either directly impacted by the justice system or have loved ones whose lives have been directly impacted. She described the organization's "ecosystem" approach to wrap young people in safety, healing and skills development to support their leadership growth. Although CURYJ works nationally and internationally, the heart of the work is in Fruitvale, with physical locations throughout the community and partnerships with schools and businesses. Dr. Ramos also highlighted CURYJ's youth leadership development programs for youth ages 14-18 and 18-26.

CURYJ Workforce Development Program Manager Olafemi Akintunde highlighted a new workstream focused on Career Pathways and Economic Mobility that creates pipelines for young people to explore the type of career they want to be in and includes coaching and wraparound support.

Jay Vasquez then provided a brief overview of some of CURYJ's policy advocacy work and legal services. He also underscored the importance of community organizing in everything CURYJ does. From state policy advocacy to leadership development programs, to career development pathways, CURYJ is working to build a movement focused on abolition and liberation for young people. Executive Director George Galvis added that while they provide programs for young people, CURYJ should be seen as a movement-building hub, rather than a direct service organization. The programs and services CURYJ provides are an intentional part of an organizing strategy where life coaches, community violence interrupters, the community defense team, and others who are doing the work in the community are just the first point of contact. This creates a trajectory to find more opportunities for youth to get involved in their community, getting them connected to political education, leadership development that allows them to elevate their voices and power to become community organizers and leaders.

Galvis then shared some of the history of the Fruitvale Youth Power Zone and more insight into the strategy behind CURYJ's youth development opportunities and paid fellowships for young people. He shared some of the challenges and past wins for their policy advocacy work, including an instrumental grassroots role in building support for Proposition 57. CURYJ also played a role in co-authoring Proposition 17. He noted that CURYJ also was organizing and fundraising to play a more direct role in advancing policies with voters and taking action to resource communities outside of traditional system roles and political avenues. He noted the organization's healing work with families impacted by gun violence and their distribution of hot meals to 4,500 families a month in the community. He also shared that CURYJ is a Climate Resilience Center, working to be able to provide a safe space for community to congregate in the face of increasing climate or environmental disasters. Finally Galvis shared that CURYJ is also working to create a demonstration model Less Restrictive Program (LRP), to help create more clarity about what both the programming and the outcome metrics could look like.

Dr. Dierkhising expressed appreciation for the presentation and the work CURYJ is doing. She asked Galvis if he could share any insight about how to help ensure that funding intended for the community is getting to the community and to the young people it is meant to serve, not funneled elsewhere or otherwise inaccessible.

Galvis acknowledged some of the recent historical challenges that have undermined nonprofit funding in ways that have undercut its purpose and underserved its intended recipients, citing several scholars who have written about this phenomenon. He shared that since 2020, CURYJ has sought to designated 1/3 of its annual operating budget to putting money directly back into the pockets of community members, from rent support to tuition grants. Recently due to budget cuts, the organization has struggled to maintain that level of support for direct community assistance. They also began to accept some government funding, which they originally did not do, but now do so very strategically without compromising clarity of mission or organizational integrity. They have also been leaning more into a strategy of focusing on small dollar donors to drive their fundraising efforts.

Tyrique Shipp, member and chair of the Youth and Emerging Leaders subcommittee shared a comment that an organization similar to CURYJ (the Anti-Recidivism Coalition) saved his life three years ago, and noted his appreciation for the work happening there. He also encouraged CURYJ staff to reach out to him if any young people working in the leadership development programs had interest in becoming involved with the Youth and Emerging Leaders subcommittee.

Vice Chair Cristian Franco asked for more information about how CURYJ worked to create a Youth Power Zone and was able to successfully oppose the creation of a proposed police substation with community support.

Galvis explained that before CURYJ moved into the current building (which would have been across the street from the proposed substation), they met with local business owners and the local city council member. They also arranged to have young people speak to those community members and leaders about what it feels like to be over-policed. They also organized community block parties that provided an opportunity for intergenerational fun and community building. He felt that those efforts seemed to help change the way the local merchants viewed the young people and not as a threat to their community safety. He also noted that the cost-effectiveness of investing in people in communities is much greater than the cost of incarcerating a single young person.

Dr. BJ Davis asked about what some of Galvis's concerns with LRP implementation were.

Galvis noted the importance of the transition from systems control to community supervision for the benefit of youth. He also noted that not all CBOs and nonprofits operate the same way or with the same values and some can be problematic in ways that do not benefit the youth. He cited some best practices he supports related to community supervision from the Missouri model, including creating a home setting, having no more than 4 young people with one staff person in a residential setting with wraparound services. He also stressed the importance of an individualized model, with access to family and loved ones but also with a safe buffer from environments that have connections to past harm. He noted that they use a rule of thumb of having residential programming 20-30 minutes from Oakland.

Informational Update: Youth Justice Committee

OYCR Grants Title II Manager Krystal Lewis-Britton provided a brief update on the Youth Justice Committee (YJC), a subcommittee of the Child Welfare Council. She highlighted several past priority areas and the deliverables the committee produced, including:

- Step Downs and Less Restrictive Programs- In this area the YJC was instrumental in the development of the research briefs that formed the foundation of the Stepping Home Model, which offers a model for stepping youth out of custody and into the community that will be informed by ongoing partnership with the field and emerging research. The Youth Justice Committee reviewed and provided feedback on the briefs and in March of last year approved them and formally recommended them for adoption by the Child Welfare Council.
- Higher Education- Two key deliverables that the committee's work guided forth were two comprehensive Higher Education Reports, written by education research firm Forward Change: Building Higher Education Pathways for Youth in Secure Treatment Facilities in California and Fewer Chutes, More Ladders- Preventing Juvenile Legal Involvement and Ensuring Successful School Reentry for California's Youth.
- Community Based Organization Capacity Building- The committee heard regular updates on the development of the CBO Capacity Building Workgroup. They also received regular reports on OYCR's $15 Million dollar investment with Sierra Health for the CBO Capacity Building Initiative and the PWA Justice Serving Network (JSN).This initiative provides see money to grass roots organizations to develop sustainable public dollar funding streams. Although OYCR funds (20) organizations through this initiative, the Public Works Alliance now has 60 organizations participating.

The YJC is currently in the process of defining deliverables in two new priority focus areas: Data with a focus on outcomes and Diversion. Lewis-Britton noted that she would be sharing regular updates as the YJC's work in these areas evolves. Given the alignment of purpose across the YJC and the SAG YJ, the planning committees would be looking for opportunities to make connections and build momentum around shared efforts.

Chair Mendoza then announced a 30-minute break from 12:35pm PT to approximately 1:05 pm PT.

Break

When the meeting was called back to order, Chair Mendoza Vice Chair acknowledged for the record that Member Monte Magic McKay had joined the meeting during the CURYJ presentation. Vice Chair Franco took roll and Monte McKay confirmed he was present.

OYCR Health Policy Division Update

OYCR Health Policy Division Chief Dr. Michael Massa started his presentation by sharing appreciation for the work happening in Oakland and recalled the positive impact of his first-hand experience with the community there early in his career. He then updated the committee regarding AB 2176, legislation passed in 2024, involving chronic absenteeism in court schools, which gave OYCR the ability to monitor court schools with an absentee rate of 15% or higher. This allowed OYCR more access to ensuring that young people have continuous access to their education. He explained that as soon as the legislation went live in January 2025, OYCR hit the ground running with implementation, reaching out to five counties that were above the absenteeism threshold: Kern, Santa Barbara, Santa Cruz and Los Angeles. The counties engaged as strong partners with OYCR to work towards improvement and they are looking

forward to seeing the impact of those efforts in the 2026 data. There is already a second cycle of counties engaged in the work to target chronic absenteeism in court schools.

He also noted the work OYCR is doing to unlock more resources for CBOs by getting them access to state behavioral health funds not available as the result of Proposition 1, which included a provision that made Medi-Cal benefits available to young people 90 days pre-release. This meant that supportive services that CBOs provide to assist in young peoples' transition from facilities back to the community can become reimbursable. OYCR is working to try and identify intermediaries that can work with CBOs to navigate the administrative challenges of accessing these funds and reimbursements. In partnership with the Public Works Alliance, OYCR also facilitated the Justice Serving Network, a cohort of 20 CBOs that were engaged in this type of work. While that cohort is coming to a close, OYCR is continuing to support the CBO work group that allows CBOs to gather, identify barriers and work through them together to help facilitate access to important resources. One particular complexity for CBOs is that they need both a mechanism to get reimbursed and access to young people in the 90 day pre-release period, which requires a partnership with the local probation system. OYCR is working to try and support both of these needs so that CBOs can provide the services that young people need before and during that critical transition.

Dr. Massa highlighted the Juvenile Court School Literacy pilot in San Diego, designed to target high rates of illiteracy. In partnership with nonprofit Collaborative Classroom, with a one-on-one program designed to target high school aged youth who tested at a literacy range between 0-3rd grade, a level that traditional high school curricula are not designed to support but can be quite prevalent in court schools. In Alameda county, for example, 35% of the high school aged youth in court schools tested at this literacy level. This was a critical effort to get youth who had already been failed by traditional school systems, access to appropriate supports while attending court schools to create new educational opportunities.

He also highlighted the Ending Girls Incarceration Initiative, where OYCR has partnered with 4 CA counties: Imperial, Los Angeles, Sacramento and San Diego, with Vera Institute of Justice serving as the subject matter expert. He noted progress in all 4 counties, including reductions in the number of girls involved with the system and important relationships with key community organizations being established and expanded.

Dr. Massa then shared an update on OYCR's work to support the growth and implementation of Less Restrictive Programs, including the hiring of subject matter expert Angeles Zaragosa, who created an LRP continuum map that helps clarify the range of programming and facilities represented in LRPs. He noted that OYCR has grants with 11 counties to support implementation and also that he and his staff are traversing the state to learn more about implementation by community organizations, gathering insights about how to replicate best practices and remove barriers. He noted that OYCR is organizing a road show that will include regional meetings across the state, with LRPs being a key topic of discussion and information sharing, so that counties can receive information and share with OYCR and their peers what their progress and challenges have been in this area.

Carol Biondi commented that the state provides a large amount of money to all counties, including Los Angeles, and expressed major concern that this money is not being used to support CBOs, estimating that the amount unspent in this capacity alone this year could be up to $60 million dollars. Dr. Massa agreed that there were major challenges resulting from

bureaucracy and acknowledged some of the issues specific to LA. He noted several positive examples where OYCR had been able to push through the barriers recently, including through their partnership with the Department of Rehabilitation have gotten workforce navigators for youth housed in Barry J Nidorff and soon in Kilpatrick. OYCR also has been able to work with LA to establish funding for seven community colleges to support system involved youth and in collaboration with the Probation Oversight Commission helped to put a motion before the Board to transfer education responsibilities to LA County of Education, instead of Probation.

Biondi urged Dr. Massa and OYCR to push on the challenges not just of bureaucratic inefficiency, but also failures of systematic competence in LA, as much as possible. She then cited a concern that the number of girls incarcerated in LA has actually gone up in the last 4-5 years from between 17-22 to 48 more recently. Dr. Massa noted that Beloved, the organization OYCR is working with in LA as part of the EGI initiative is now working in Kilpatrick (where girls are housed in LA) and several other organizations ready to provide services, including the Boys and Girls club of Malibu, West LA College and the DOR workforce initiative. OYCR is also working with probation to open up those opportunities for girls. He also noted that OYCR has a new point person who is dedicated to getting quarterly data from the county. Finally he acknowledged that the move to concentrate girls at Kilpatrick was controversial and there were alternate plans not taken into account. OYCR was working hard to build relationships across the county and identifying opportunities to help improve the situation, including helping address staffing shortages by bringing in certified wellness coaches, community health workers or peer support specialists who could be reimbursable through Medi-Cal, allowing probation to focus on safety and security with more additional specialized workforce support to help with supportive programming.

Michelle Guymon echoed Carol Biondi's sentiments about the current situation in LA and expressed concern that there had been too little action on the part of the county and noted that to her knowledge, Beloved was doing pre-dispositional work only, while support for transition back to communities and homes was a major problem. She reported that families were expressing major concerns with being ready for youth to come back home and this is an area where urgent post-dispositional support is needed. She also noted administrative challenges and confusion with where girls were supposed to report to get services, given the recent change. She expressed additional concern that decarceration for girls has been a priority since 2021 but she saw few results with respect to LA. She asked if there were recent reports documenting the EGI work and OYCR's involvement to help illustrate more specifics about both programming and barriers. Dr. Massa noted that OYCR has not yet completed a year of partnership with CBOs involved but that they are tracking the data closely. He also shared that OYCR has been funding a system review leadership effort by the National Center for Youth Justice, focusing on the issues happening in LA, that included information gathering with county justice leaders, education leaders, child welfare leaders and others. The report was completed after 9 months of analysis and the recommendations and action plan would be shared publicly soon.

Dr Dierkhising noted that it was concerning to hear that things seemed to be getting worse with girls in LA, given the county's involvement in the EGI initiative meant to improve the situation and the funding behind it. Dr Massa said that there was positive momentum around the work, due to key clarifications and identifying the right point people in the last few months and that he

would look forward to returning to the next quarterly SAG YJ meeting and share an update with members about how the work was moving forward.

Tyrique Shipp shared that while there were challenges in LA and some pushback, there was also momentum around the partnership between POC and OYCR to support real solutions-based work on behalf of girls involved with the system and he noted that we would hopefully be seeing some real substantive changes soon.

Subcommittee Updates and Discussion

Community Investment and System Accountability (CISA) Subcommittee

Dr Carly Dierkhising, subcommittee chair, shared on behalf of the CISA subcommittee. She noted that the CISA committee meets monthly on the third Friday from 12-1:30pm. CBOs come and discuss their work, including Title II grantees and committee members. She noted a presentation about a Community-Based Alliance between probation and CBOs in Riverside County happening at the upcoming CISA meeting on Friday, March 20th by Ramon Ramos, who leads the Alliance. They would be sharing their successful effort to gain access to a large amount of unspent dollars in the county and get eligible CBOs connected with those funds. She shared that Matt Cervantes would be sharing information about ongoing community-based organization capacity building efforts at the April CISA meeting. She reiterated the two key goals of the subcommittee: investment and capacity building for community-based organizations; and system accountability for funds actually getting to those organizations. As part of the former goal, the committee is actively trying to ensure that they know what CBOs receiving Title II funds actually want in terms of opportunities to build capacity and connect with each other. The committee has prepared a set of interview questions for 12 Title II grantees that allow them to conduct a needs assessment to learn more about what would be most helpful for CBOs as part of their work. She asked if there was a motion to approve that the CISA committee conduct a needs assessment of Title II grantees. Member Carol Biondi moved to approve the needs assessment. Tyrique Shipp seconded the motion. Vice Chair Franco called for a committee vote.

The following members voted in favor of the motion: Tyrique Shipp, Carly Dierkhising, Michelle Guymon, Supervisor Joe Baca Jr, Carol Biondi, Dr. BJ Davis, Michael Duncan, Dr. Tecoy Porter Sr., Montay Magic McKay

The following members did not vote: Dante Williams, Dr Mimi Silbert, Susan Sands, Hon. Brian Back, Isaiah Barber

The motion passed and the CISA needs assessment was approved to move forward.

Policy and Legislative Advocacy Subcommittee

Dr. Tecoy Porter, Policy and Legislative Advocacy subcommittee chair, reported on behalf of the committee. Dr Porter reported that the committee has been analyzing deferred entry of judgement (DEJ) outcomes across the state and has reviewed statewide data from the Juvenile Court and Probational Statistical System, which provided insight into DEJ usage by race, gender, and age. The committee has requested additional data to better uncover gaps and disparities related to deferred entry of judgement, including county-level data to better identify

regional disparities and target interventions; year-over-year trend data to understand how outcomes are shifting over time. They have also asked to see a breakdown by representation type to assess whether access to private versus public counsel impacts outcomes, as well as predisposition detention data that is disaggregated by race and gender to better understand system entry points. He noted that the committee is planning a joint meeting with the R/ED committee on March 24 from 10:30-11:30am to align on equity-focused policy recommendations. Committee Co-Chair Dr. BJ Davis noted the work of both the Policy and Legislative committee and the R/ED committee has involved challenges in getting access to comprehensive data to better understand disparities to drive recommendations and is looking forward to aligning the work of the two committees to push that important work forward.

Racial and Ethnic Disparities

Cecilia Simmons, OYCR County Liaison, shared on behalf of the R/ED committee as Committee Chair Judge Back was not able to attend the meeting. She shared that the R/ED committee has established a quarterly cadence, with the next two meetings planned for April 6 and June 9th. They now have 10 subcommittee members, with interest in adding more voices to represent tribal communities, law enforcement, and regionally more representation from the Bay Area and Southern California. One of the things the subcommittee has been working on is understanding the outcomes of a previous partnership with WestED to analyze county and state level youth justice data, identify disparities and produce tools such as dashboards, reports and frameworks. The committee learned that some of the funding (a little less than one third) of that $300,000 contract had been used to produce a dashboard but not all deliverables had been completed, including a report. The committee learned that some of the remaining funding may be available to conduct more of the planned work and also that OYCR's Data and Research team is engaged in some current work that may answer some of the key questions the committee would like to answer to inform their work and their recommendations.

Restorative Justice Subcommittee

Committee co-chair Kent Mendoza presented on behalf of the Restorative Justice subcommittee. He shared that the committee has established a cadence of monthly meetings, every fourth Monday from 1-2:30pm. At the February meeting, the committee heard a general presentation on the Title II Racial and Ethnic Disparities Plan for FY 2024-2026. One thing the committee discussed was that they would like to recommend that the next iteration of the plan include restorative justice approaches that are aligned with data and key performance indicators. They also talked about the need to focus on the intersection of restorative justice and racial equity disparities at decision points, including arrest, diversion, detention, and adult transfer. They also had some recommendations for data points that could be collected related to the plan to help analyze equitable outcomes across the board, and related to restorative justice work in particular. The committee has also talked about wanting to be able to better uplift victims' voices and stories in how restorative justice is talked about and doing a better job of being able to highlight their important role in the work.

Youth and Emerging Leaders Subcommittee

Tyrique Shipp, subcommittee chair, presented on behalf of the Youth and Emerging Leaders (YEL) subcommittee. He shared that the YEL subcommittee meets bi-monthly, on the second Tuesday of every second month at 2pm. He noted that this has helped gain some momentum

around attendance and that the subcommittee co-chair has been actively reaching out to CBOs to invite them to participate in the meetings as well, as they hope to increase public input. The committee has been narrowing their focus for now on LRPs. They will be inviting OYCR's LRP subject matter lead, Angeles Zaragosa, to present at the May YEL meeting, along with LRP leaders from across the state. The committee hopes to be able to add unique expertise and input from youth voices to OYCR's technical resources to support LRP implementation. He noted the committee is particularly interested in data and was excited about the CISA committee's needs assessment as the YEL committee would benefit from being able to see that data to learn more about the needs of Title II grantees.

Public Comment

Vice Chair Franco opened the floor for public comment. Zyana Perez, Sacramento County Probation, had posed a question in the chat related to Dr Massa's presentation from OYCR's Health Policy Division. She asked for clarification about which AB 2176 counties will have site visits.

Dr Massa shared that for the 2026 cycle, it will be Alameda, Kern, LA, Riverside, LA, Santa Barbara, San Diego and Santa Cruz. He also shared that part of the legislation stipulates that OYCR creates a report sharing this data annually and that the report from the first year is currently under review and when approved, it will be published and available to the public.

Zyana Perez asked for clarification about when the next meeting of the SAG YJ would be and Chair Mendoza shared that it would be on June 17 in Los Angeles County. He noted that anyone interested in hosting an upcoming meeting should reach out to staff supporting the committee sacjjdp@chhs.ca.gov

Announcements, Call for Agenda Items & Adjourn

Chair Mendoza called for any final announcements, thanked members and reminded them of the next meeting on June 17, 2026 in Los Angeles, at a CBO in the Echo Park area. He strongly encouraged members of the committee, as well as members of the public, to attend in person. He then adjourned the meeting.